

Health Related Benefits of Attaining the Eight-hour Ozone Standard

Bryan J. Hubbell

doi:10.1289/ehp.7186 (available at <http://dx.doi.org/>)

Online 7 October 2004



Health Related Benefits of Attaining the Eight-hour Ozone Standard

Bryan J. Hubbell

U.S. EPA

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

Innovative Strategies and Economics Group

MD 339-01

RTP, NC 27711

Aaron Hallberg

Donald R. McCubbin

Ellen Post

Abt Associates, Inc.

4800 Montgomery Lane, Suite 600

Bethesda, MD 20814

September 2004

*Corresponding author, e-mail address: hubbell.bryan@epa.gov.

Express mail should be sent to:

Bryan Hubbell

U.S. EPA/OAQPS

4930 Old Page Road

RTP, NC, 27703

Phone: (919)541-0621

Fax: (919)541-0839

Acknowledgements/disclaimers: We would like to express our thanks to Alison Davis for providing technical editing that greatly improved the readability of the article. We would also like to thank Matt Johnson for his careful editing and formatting of the final version.

The opinions expressed in this article are the authors' and do not necessarily represent those of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Short running head title: Benefits of Attaining the 8-hour Ozone Standard

Key Words: air pollution, ozone, health impact assessment, standards, benefit analysis

Abbreviations:

AHRQ: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

AQS: Air Quality System

BenMAP: Environmental Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program

CAPMS: Criteria Air Pollutant Modeling System

CDC: Centers for Disease Control

CDC WONDER: Centers for Disease Control Wide-Ranging Online Data for Epidemiological Research

CI: Confidence interval

COI: Cost of illness

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CPI-U: Consumer price index – urban

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

ER: Emergency room

HIS: National Health Interview Survey

ICD: International Classification of Disease

MRAD: Minor restricted activity days

NCHS: National Center for Health Statistics

NHDS: National Hospital Discharge Survey

NHAMCS: National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey

NMMAPS: National Morbidity, Mortality and Air Pollution Study

NO_x: Nitrogen oxides

PM₁₀: Particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns

PM_{2.5}: Particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns

ppb: parts per billion

POC: Parameter occurrence code

RIA: Regulatory impact analysis

SO₂: Sulfur dioxide

U.S.: United States

VOC: Volatile organic compounds

VNA: Voronoi neighbor averaging

VSL: Value of statistical life

WHO: World Health Organization

WTP: Willingness to pay

Outline:

- I. Abstract
- II. Introduction
- III. Characterization of Population-Level Exposures to Ambient Ozone
 - a. Selecting monitor data
 - b. Spatial interpolation
 - c. Reducing ozone levels to meet the standard
- IV. Health Impact Functions
 - a. Affected populations
 - b. Effect estimate sources
 - c. Baseline incidence rates
- V. Economic Values for Health Outcomes
- VI. Treatment of Uncertainty
- VII. Results

I. Abstract:

During the 2000-2002 time period, between 36 and 56 percent of ozone monitors each year in the U.S. failed to meet the current ozone standard of 80 ppb for the 4th highest maximum 8-hour ozone concentration. We estimated the health benefits of attaining the ozone standard at these monitors using the Environmental Protection Agency's Environmental Benefits Modeling and Analysis Program (BenMAP). We used health impact functions based on published epidemiological studies, and valuation functions derived from the economics literature. The estimated health benefits for 2000 and 2001 are similar in magnitude, while the results for 2002 are roughly twice that of each of the prior two years. The simple average of health impacts across the three years includes reductions of 800 premature deaths, 4,500 hospital and emergency room admissions, 900,000 school absences, and over a million minor restricted activity days. The simple average of benefits (including premature mortality) across the three years is \$5.7 billion (90% CI: 0.6, 15.0) for the quadratic rollback simulation method and \$4.9 billion (90% CI: 0.5, 14.0) for the proportional rollback simulation method. Results are sensitive to the form of the standard and to assumptions about background ozone levels. If the form of the standard is based on the 1st highest maximum 8-hour concentration, impacts are increased by a factor of two to three. Increasing the assumed hourly background from zero to 40 ppb reduced impacts by 30 and 60 percent for the proportional and quadratic attainment simulation methods, respectively.